

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/595,163	Applicant(s) YOKOYAMA, YUICHI	
	Examiner ARTHUR O. HALL	Art Unit 3718	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ARTHUR O. HALL. (3) _____.

(2) Akiyoshi Onda. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☒103 ☐Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Namba et al. (US6,494,783), Hoshino (JP2001-12949), Rimoto et al. (US6,503,144), Sterchi et al. (US2005/0153764).

Substance of Interview
(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner and applicant discussed claim amendments that would recite that the dispatching form is a posture of the character at a point of dispatching the moving object, that the deviance is set in a trajectory of the moving object between the point and the destination based on the dispatching form of the character, and that the deviation of the moving object is controlled in the trajectory based on the dispatching form of the character. Examiner and applicant further discussed that the intended use language, for example for causing or for receiving, would be recited in more positive language, and that other clarifying amendments would be made to make the features of the claim clear. Examiner provided applicant with suggested claim amendments per the discussion regarding the substantive features of the claim.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/ARTHUR O. HALL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3718	
---	--